# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

6111 Colgate Street	; ;
Philadelphia, PA 19111	: CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiff,	. No
v.	· :
ENCLARA PHARMACIA, INC. 1601 Cherry Street, Suite 1700 Philadelphia, PA 19102	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant.	; ; ;

### **CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, by and through her undersigned counsel, hereby avers as follows:

### **INTRODUCTION**

1. This action has been initiated by Debra Blakney Brown (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff," unless indicated otherwise) against Enclara Pharmacia, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant" unless indicated otherwise) for violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA" - 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101 *et seq.*) and the Family and Medical Leave Act ("FMLA"). As a direct consequence of Defendant' unlawful actions, Plaintiff seeks damages as set forth herein.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

2. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over the instant action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343(a)(4) because it arises under the laws of the United States and seeks redress for violations of federal laws. There lies supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Plaintiff also intends to include claims under Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA" – 43 P.S. §§ 951 *et seq.*) if and when such claims become administratively exhausted with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission ("PHRC"). Plaintiff's PHRA claims will mirror her ADA claims as set forth herein.

state-law claims because they arise out of the same common nucleus of operative facts as Plaintiff's federal claims asserted herein.

- 3. This Court may properly maintain personal jurisdiction over Defendant because its contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendant to comply with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice, satisfying the standard set forth by the United States Supreme Court in *International Shoe Co. v. Washington*, 326 U.S. 310 (1945) and its progeny.
- 4. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and (b)(2), venue is properly laid in this district because all of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this judicial district, and Defendant is deemed to reside where it is subjected to personal jurisdiction, rendering Defendant a resident of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

#### **PARTIES**

- 5. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
  - 6. Plaintiff is an adult individual, with an address as set forth in the caption.
- 7. Defendant is a pharmaceutical company for which Plaintiff was employed at the above-captioned address.
- 8. At all times relevant herein, Defendant acted by and through its agents, servants and employees, each of whom acted at all times relevant herein in the course and scope of their employment with and for Defendant.
- 9. Defendant is an "employer" for purposes of the FMLA and ADA (and PHRA) as it has and continues to employ over at least fifty (50) employees.

#### **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

- 10. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 11. Plaintiff was hired as a temporary employee by ExcelleRx on or about January 13,2014 to work at 1601 Cherry Street, Suite 1700 in Philadelphia, PA.
- 12. In or about February of 2015, Defendant acquired the above-captioned location from ExcelleRx and kept Plaintiff on as a temporary employee.
  - 13. Defendant made Plaintiff a permanent employee on or about June 23, 2014.
  - 14. Plaintiff worked for Defendant as a Pharmacy Technician.
- 15. In or about the spring of 2015, Plaintiff began experiencing various health conditions related to her neck, shoulder, arms, and wrists including but not limited to carpal tunnel syndrome in both hands, pinched nerves, and other injuries/conditions.
- 16. Plaintiff's aforementioned health conditions constitute disabilities under the law as they, at times, substantially limit Plaintiff's enjoyment of several daily life activities including but not limited to performing physical tasks, gripping, lifting, working, and other life activities.<sup>2</sup>
- 17. For example, Plaintiff missed approximately three (3) days from work in or about late April / early May due following a trip to the emergency room for her aforementioned health conditions.
- 18. Plaintiff also has undergone multiple medical procedures in connection with her aforementioned disabilities.
  - 19. For example, on or about May 2, 2015, Plaintiff was put in a cast.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> While such life activities may be impacted, this paragraph should not be construed to mean Plaintiff is impacted on an every-day basis in this manner. Nor should this paragraph be construed as an exhaustive list of the ways in which Plaintiff is impacted (but rather, provides some examples).

- 20. Plaintiff worked with a cast on and fully visible for approximately 1.5 weeks.
- 21. In or about the middle of May of 2015, Plaintiff required a brief medical leave of absence to care for her disabilities.
- 22. Plaintiff informed Defendant's management that she would require the aforementioned medical leave of absence and that such leave of absence would be approximately two (2) weeks long (a reasonable accommodation).
- 23. Defendant's management told Plaintiff that a two week absence would cause Plaintiff to exceed the amount of allotted attendance "points" and Plaintiff's employment would be terminated.
- 24. Defendant's management terminated Plaintiff's employment on or about May 21, 2015 because her medical leave of absence would have put her over the allotted amount of attendance points required for termination under Defendant's policy.
- 25. Plaintiff only required the ability to take a medical leave of absence until on or about June 1, 2015, an extremely reasonable accommodation.
- 26. Plaintiff believes and therefore avers that she was terminated because she was not properly accommodated, because of her health conditions and/or in retaliation for her requests for and utilization of medical accommodations.
- 27. Plaintiff was also never informed of her rights pursuant to the FMLA and was terminated in retaliation for taking an FMLA-qualifying leave.

# COUNT I <u>Violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA", as amended)</u> ([1] Discrimination; [2] Retaliation; [3] Failure to Accommodate)

28. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.

- 29. Plaintiff properly exhausted her administrative remedies before proceeding in this Court for violations of the ADA by timely filing a Charge with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") and by filing the instant Complaint within 90 days of receiving a notice of case closure and/or right-to-sue letter.
- 30. Plaintiff was terminated soon after disclosing her health conditions and requesting accommodations.
- 31. Defendant's management terminated Plaintiff's employment for absences that should have been accommodated under the ADA.
- 32. These actions as aforesaid constitute unlawful discrimination, retaliation, and failure to accommodate under the ADA.

# COUNT II <u>Violations of the Family and Medical Leave Act ("FMLA")</u> (Interference and Retaliation)

- 33. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 34. Defendant is an employer pursuant to the FMLA as it has over fifty (50) employees.
- 35. Plaintiff is an employee under the FMLA as she worked for Defendant for over one (1) year.
- 36. Plaintiff is an employee under the FMLA as she worked 1250 or more hours in the preceding year.
- 37. Plaintiff notified Defendant's management that she needed to take a brief, approximately two-week medical leave of absence due to her own serious health condition.

- 38. Plaintiff also informed Defendant's management of previous absences and hospitalization due to her serious health condition.
  - 39. Defendant failed to notify Plaintiff of her rights pursuant to the FMLA.
  - 40. Defendant also failed to certify Plaintiff's leave as FMLA-qualifying.
- 41. Defendant failed to reinstate Plaintiff to her position following an FMLA-qualifying leave of absence.
- 42. Defendant terminated Plaintiff's employment for taking an FMLA-qualifying leave of absence.
- 43. These actions as aforesaid constitute interference and retaliation violations of the FMLA.

## WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court enter an Order providing that:

- A. Defendant is to compensate Plaintiff, reimburse Plaintiff, and make Plaintiff whole for any and all pay and benefits Plaintiff would have received had it not been for Defendant's illegal actions, including but not limited to back pay, front pay, salary, pay increases, bonuses, insurance, benefits, training, promotions, reinstatement, and seniority.
- B. Plaintiff is to be awarded punitive damages, as permitted by applicable law, in an amount believed by the Court or trier of fact to be appropriate to punish Defendant for their willful, deliberate, malicious and outrageous conduct and to deter Defendant or other employers from engaging in such misconduct in the future;
- C. Plaintiff is to be accorded other equitable and legal relief as the Court deems just, proper, and appropriate (including but not limited to damages for emotional distress / pain and suffering);

- D. Plaintiff is to be awarded the costs and expenses of this action and reasonable attorney's fees as provided by applicable federal and state law.
- E. Plaintiff is entitled to a trial by jury as stated in the caption of the instant Complaint.

Respectfully submitted,

KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, P.C.

By:

Ari R. Karpf, Esq. 3331 Street Road Two Greenwood Square, Suite 128 Bensalem, PA 19020 (215) 639-0801

Dated: March 24, 2016

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

### CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION DEBRA BLAKNEY BROWN NO. ENCLARA PHARMACIA, INC. In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned. SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS: (a) Habeas Corpus - Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255. () (b) Social Security - Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. ) (c) Arbitration - Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. (d) Asbestos - Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos. (e) Special Management - Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.) ( ) (f) Standard Management - Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. (X) 3/24/2016 **Plaintiff** Date Attorney-at-law Attorney for (215) 639-0801 akarpf@karpf-law.com (215) 639-4970 Telephone FAX Number E-Mail Address

(Civ. 660) 10/02

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to assignment to appropriate calendar,	be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case fo	r the purpose of
Address of Plaintiff: 6111 Colgate Street, Philadelphia, PA 19111		
Address of Defendant: 1601 Cherry Street, Suite 1700, Philadelphia, PA	19102	
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Defendant's place of business		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(Use Reverse Side For		
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of	
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(	Yes No No.	s stock?
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	YesD No.	
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:  Case Number: Judge	Data Tarminatada	
- Jungo	. Date Tellimisted:	
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:		
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one	year previously terminated action in this court?	
2. The stable area involved the same involved Co.	Yes□ No□	
<ol><li>Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior action in this count?</li></ol>	suit pending or within one year previously terminated	
	Yes□ No□	
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier terminated action in this court?		
(Gillingaes action in alls count	Yes□ No□	
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rigi	its case filed by the same individual?	
· ·	Yes□ No□	
C[VII.: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)		
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases;	*
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	Insurance Contract and Other Contract	
2. □ FELA	2. D Airplane Personal Injury	18
3.   Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation	
4. Antitrust	4.  Marine Personal Injury	
5. D Patent	5.  Motor Vehicle Personal Injury	-
6. C Labor-Management Relations	6. O Other Personal Injury (Please specify)	
7. A Civil Rights	7. Products Liability	
8.   Habeas Corpus	8.   Products Liability — Asbestos	
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9.   All other Diversity Cases	
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)	4
11. □ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)		
(a tense appearly)		
ARBITRATION CERT		
ı, Ari R. Karpf , counsel of record do hereby certif	V:	
N Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and	belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed	the sum of
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;  Relief other than monetary damages is sought.		
DATE: 3/24/2016	ARK2484	•
Attorney-at-Law  NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	Attorney i.D.# 91538 re has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.	
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not religied to any case now pending or reexcept as noted above.	within one year previously terminated action in this court	Processing
DATE: 3/24/2016	ARK2484	nos.
Attorney-at-Law CIV. 609 (5/2012)	Attorney 1.D.# 91538	

\*>JS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

### CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by taw, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS			
BLAKNEY BROWN	, DEBRA		ENCLARA PH	IARMACIA, INC.		
(b) County of Resident	ce of First Listed Plaintiff Philadelph	nia	County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant	Philadelphia	
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, Telephone Number and Email Address)  Karpf, Karpf & Cerutti, P.C., 3331 Street Road, Two Greenwood Square, Suite 128, Bensalem,		oad,	NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.			
	639-0801, akarpf@karpf-l	law.com	Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURIS	DICTION (Mace an "X" in One Box Onl	ly) III. CI	<b>FIZENSHIP OF P</b> For Diversity Cases Only)	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	質 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		P	TF DEF I CI I Incorporated or P of Business In Th	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF rincipal Place	
© 2 U.S. Government Defendant	O 4 Diversity	į.	of Another State	1 2 D 2 Incorporated and of Business In	Principal Place 0 5 0 5	
	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in I	Citizer	or Subject of a 🔲	3 Foreign Nation	D 6 D 6	
IV. NATURE OF SU	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)					
110 Insurance   120 Marine   130 Marine   130 Marine   140 Negotiable Instrument   150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgmen   151 Medicare Act   152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)   153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits   160 Stockholders' Suits   190 Other Contract   195 Contract Product Liability   196 Franchise   195 Contract Product Liability   196 Franchise   120 Land Condemnation   220 Forcelosure   230 Rent Lease & Ejectment   240 Torts to Land   245 Tort Product Liability   290 All Other Real Property	310 Airplane	AL INJURY  mal Injury - Malpractic   G20  mal Injury - loc Liability   G30  tos Personal   G40  Product   G50  lity   G60  PROPERTY  Fraud   G90  in Lending   710  ry Damage   720  ry Damage   720  rt Liability   730  ms to Vacate   791  orpus: al  Penalty  unus & Other   4621  lights   G65  A 656  A 656    G65    G76    G	Agriculture Other Food & Drug Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck Airline Regs. Occupational Safety/Health Other  Light A Drugger Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/Mgmt. Relations Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act Railway Labor Act	422 Appeal 28 USC 158   423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157   28 USC 16 USC	400 State Reapportionment   410 Antitrust   410 Antitrust   430 Banks and Banking   450 Commerce   460 Deportation   470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations   480 Consumer Credit   490 Cable/Sat TV   810 Selective Service   850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange   875 Customer Challenge   12 USC 3410   890 Other Statutory Actions   891 Agricultural Acts	
V. ORIGIN  (Place an "X" in One Box Only)  (Place an "X" in On						
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under white Americans w/Disabilities Ad Brief description of cause: Violations of the ADA an the	ct "ADA" (42US	not cite jurisdictional	l statutes unless diversity): & Medical Leave Act		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS A UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	ACTION DEN	IAND \$	CHECK YES only i JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: ☑ Yes ☐ No	
VIII. RELATED CASI	S(S) (See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
Explanation:			***************************************			
3/24/2016						
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD						

Print

Save As...

**Export as PDF** 

Retrieve PDF File

Reset